REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action of March

12, 2009.

Claims 1-9, 67-76 and 120-129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed

invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 10, 67 and 120 have been amended to remove the feature that "at least one

unselected license of the current licenses displayed on the page is not upgraded or downgraded".

Claims 1, 10, 67 and 120 has been amended to remove the feature that "the upgrading or

downgrading is done using at least one processor".

Claims 67 and 120 have been amended to include the feature that "the upgrading and

downgrading is done using at least one processor". These claims are now believed to be

statutory under the machine prong of Bilski's "machine or transformation" test for the method

claims.

Claims 1-9, 10-18, 67-76 and 120-129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph.

The claims have been amended to remove the feature that "at least one unselected license

of the current licenses displayed on the page is not upgraded or downgraded".

Claims 1-9, 10-18, 67-76 and 120-129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second

paragraph.

Claims 1, 10, 67 and 120 have been amended to remove the feature that "at least one

unselected license of the current licenses displayed on the page is not upgraded or downgraded".

Claim 10 has been amended to be a pure system claim.

Claim 120 has been amended such that the preamble reads "a computer readable media

containing instructions to instruct a computer to do the steps of".

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01454US7 SRM/ORACL/1454us7/031209\_OA\_Response 25

Claims 1-8, 10-17, 67-76, and 120-129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Aldis et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0039916) in view of

Ross et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,553,143) and further in view of Stupek Jr. et al (U.S. Patent No.

5,960,189) and in further view of Erickson (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0210765).

Claims 1, 10, 67 and 120 have been amended to include the feature that "the page is a

license search result page that displays current licenses found as a result of a search" and that

"the license search result page allows for the selection of a group of more than one of the

displayed current licenses for upgrading or downgrading in the batch mode, the selection of the

group determining which licenses are upgraded or downgraded". These features are not shown

or made obvious by the cited prior art. These features are supported by at least paragraphs

[0056], [0026] and [0033] of the present invention's published application.

Selecting licenses from a license search result page to be batch processed for an upgrade

or downgrade is not shown by Erikson. The examiner points to Figure 7E and paragraph [0159]

of Erikson for showing this feature.

Figure 7E of Erikson shows a "Sources Works Display [that] presents the electronic

record of any work from which the current work is derived, and the available information about

each of those works".

Figure 7F of Erikson mentioned in paragraphs [0158] – [0159] shows a "Source Works

Manager window [that] allows the developer to easily see the status of permissions for each

work, to obtain detailed authorship, ownership, and licensing information from the source work's

registration server, and to selectively obtain auxiliary permissions as required for each source

work".

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01454US7 SRM/ORACL/1454us7/031209 OA Response 26

Neither page shown in Erikson is a "license search result page" as claimed in the present

invention's independent claims.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the

subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in

expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any

fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: <u>June 22, 2009</u>

By: /Sheldon R. Meyer/

Sheldon R. Meyer

Reg. No.: 27,660

Customer No. 23910

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

650 California Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01454US7 SRM/ORACL/1454us7/031209 OA Response

27